

ON THE INTERGRABILITY OF THE MARTINGALE SQUARE FUNCTION

BY
BURGESS DAVIS

ABSTRACT

Let $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots)$ be a martingale. It is proved that the L_1 norms of $\sup_n |f_n|$ and of $(\sum (f_n - f_{n-1})^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ are equivalent. This result completes results of D. L. Burkholder and R. F. Gundy on operators on martingales.

In this paper we prove the following:

THEOREM 1. *Let $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots)$ be a martingale, where $f_n = \sum_{k=1}^n d_k$, $n \geq 1$. Define $f^* = \sup_n |f_n|$ and $S(f) = (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_k^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then there are two positive numbers c , C such that*

$$(1) \quad c \|S(f)\|_1 \leq \|f^*\|_1 \leq C \|S(f)\|_1.$$

This inequality completes a line of investigation begun by D. L. Burkholder, who proved that there exist constant c_p , C_p such that

$$(2) \quad c_p \|S(f)\|_p \leq \|f^*\|_p \leq C_p \|S(f)\|_p$$

for $1 < p < \infty$. (See theorem 9 of [2] and p. 317 of [3].) More recently, in [1], inequality (2) has been extended to the entire interval $0 < p < \infty$ for martingales that satisfy a certain regularity condition, and it is shown that inequality (2) fails to hold without this regularity condition when $0 < p < 1$. Theorem 1 settles the question for the only remaining case, $p = 1$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Define f_n^* and $S_n(f)$ to be 0 if $n = 0$, $\max_{i \leq n} |f_i|$ and $(\sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ respectively if $n > 0$.

Since

$$\|S(f)\|_2^2 = \lim \|S_n(f)\|_2^2 = \lim \|f_n\|_2^2 = \|f\|_2^2,$$

the most immediate connections between f^* and $S(f)$ are

Received November 10, 1969 and in revised form January 22, 1970

$$(3) \quad P(S(f) > \lambda) \leq \|f^*\|_2^2 / \lambda^2$$

$$(4) \quad P(f^* > \lambda) \leq \|S(f)\|_2^2 / \lambda^2,$$

(4) following by a result of Doob (3.4', p. 314 of [3]) applied to the sub-martingale $(f_n^2, n \geq 1)$.

Now let T be one of the operators $f \rightarrow f^*, f \rightarrow S(f)$ and let H be the other. Theorem 1 will be proved by showing that if f is a martingale then $\|H(f)\|_1 \leq 130 \|T(f)\|_1$, which is sufficient to establish the double inequality (1) since the roles of H and T can be reversed.

Define

$$A_n = \{|d_n| > 2d_{n-1}^*\}$$

$$\Delta_n = E(d_n I(A_n) | f_i, i < n),$$

and

$$a_n = d_n I(A_n) - \Delta_n.$$

Then a is a martingale difference sequence. Let $h_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$. Since $|d_n| < 2(d_n^* - d_{n-1}^*)$ where $|d_n| > 2d_{n-1}^*$, we have

$$\sum |d_n I(A_n)| \leq 2 \sum (d_n^* - d_{n-1}^*) = 2d^* \leq 4T(f),$$

and so

$$(5) \quad \|\sum |\Delta_n|\|_1 \leq 4 \|T(f)\|_1$$

Define $g = (f - h)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(f)\|_1 &\leq \|H(g)\|_1 + \|H(h)\|_1 \\ &\leq \|H(g)\|_1 + \|\sum |a_n|\|_1 \\ &\leq \|H(g)\|_1 + 8 \|T(f)\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

We now proceed to estimate $\|H(g)\|_1$. Let $t = t(\lambda)$ be the stopping time

$$\inf \{n: \max(T_n(f), T_n(g), \Delta_{n+1}) > \lambda\}.$$

Then $|g_t - g_{t-1}| = |d_t I(|d_t| \leq 2d_{t-1}^*) + \Delta_t| \leq 5\lambda$, since $|\Delta_t| \leq \lambda$ and $d_{t-1}^* \leq 2|T_{t-1}(f)| \leq 2\lambda$, so $T_t(g) \leq T_{t-1}(g) + |g_t - g_{t-1}| \leq 6\lambda$. In addition $T_t(g) \leq T(g) \leq T(f) + T(h)$.

Now $P(H(g) > \lambda) \leq P(t < \infty) + P(t = \infty, H(g) > \lambda)$

$$\leq P(t < \infty) + P(H_t(g) > \lambda), \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty P(t < \infty) d\lambda &\leq \int_0^\infty P(T(f) > \lambda) d\lambda + \int_0^\infty P(T(g) > \lambda) d\lambda + \int_0^\infty P(\sum |\Delta_n| > \lambda) d\lambda \\ &= \|T(f)\|_1 + \|T(g)\|_1 + \|\sum |\Delta_n|\|_1 \\ &\leq 14 \|T(f)\|_1, \text{ while} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty P(H_t(g) > \lambda) d\lambda &\leq \int_0^\infty \|T_t(g)\|_2^2 d\lambda/\lambda^2 \text{ (by (3) or (4))} \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \|\min(T(f) + T(h), 6\lambda)\|_2^2 d\lambda/\lambda^2 \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \|(T(f) + T(h))I(T(f) + T(h) \leq 6\lambda)\|_2^2 d\lambda/\lambda^2 \\ &\quad + \int_0^\infty P(T(f) + T(h) > 6\lambda) (6\lambda)^2 d\lambda/\lambda^2 \\ &= \int_0^\infty \|(T(f) + T(h))I(T(f) + T(h) \leq 6\lambda)\|_2^2 d\lambda/\lambda^2 \\ &\quad + 6 \|T(f) + T(h)\|_1 \\ &= \int_\Omega (T(f) + T(h))^2 \int_{(T(f)+T(h))/6}^\infty d\lambda/\lambda^2 + 6 \|T(f) + T(h)\|_1 \\ &= 12 \|T(f) + T(h)\|_1 \\ &\leq 108 \|T(f)\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|H(g)\|_1 = \int_0^\infty P(H(g) > \lambda) d\lambda \leq 122 \|T(f)\|_1$, so $\|H(f)\|_1 \leq 130 \|T(f)\|_1$ completing the proof of Theorem 1.

We now indicate an extension of Theorem 1 to a class of more general operators. A matrix operator is defined to be an operator which can be expressed in the form

$$M(f) = \left[\sum_{j=1}^\infty (\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n a_{jk} d_k \right|)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where $(a_{jk} \ 1 \leq j < \infty, \ 1 \leq k < \infty)$ is a matrix of real numbers such that $c \leq \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_{jk}^2 \leq C, \ k \geq 1, \ c$ and C positive numbers. $M_n(f)$ denotes $M(f^n)$, where f^n is f stopped at time n , and $M^*(f) = \sup_n M_n(f)$. Such operators are

discussed in [1], where it is shown that if M and N are two matrix operators then there is a number $c(M, N, p)$ such that if f is a martingale then

$$\|M^*(f)\|_p \leq c(M, N, p) \|N^*(f)\|_p, \quad p > 1$$

and that for martingales satisfying certain regularity conditions this inequality may be extended to the range $0 < p < \infty$. Richard F. Gundy has observed that with minor changes the proof of Theorem 1 proves the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. *Let M and N be matrix operators. Then there is a constant $c(M, N)$ such that if f is a martingale then*

$$\|M^*f\|_1 \leq c(M, N) \|N^*f\|_1.$$

I want to thank D. L. Burkholder and R. F. Gundy for many helpful comments.

REFERENCES

1. D. L. Burkholder and R. F. Gundy, *Extrapolation and interpolation of quasi-linear operators on martingales*, to appear.
2. D. L. Burkholder, *Martingale transforms*, Ann. Math. Statist., 37 (1966), 1494–1504.
3. J. L. Doob, *Stochastic Processes*, New York, 1953.

RUTGERS UNIVERSITY